

## A framework for scalable vision-only navigation

Siniša Šegvić, Anthony Remazeilles, Albert Diosi and François Chaumette

INRIA/IRISA, Campus de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes Cedex, France

□ a novel approach to **large-scale vision-only** navigation

- □ a novel approach to **large-scale vision-only** navigation
- hybrid (topological-geometric) environment representation:
  - 1. environment partitioned into manageable subworlds
  - 2. the topology induced by subworld adjacency
  - 3. subworlds described by metric 3D reconstructions

- □ a novel approach to **large-scale vision-only** navigation
- □ *hybrid* (topological-geometric) environment representation:
  - 1. environment partitioned into manageable subworlds
  - 2. the topology induced by subworld adjacency
  - 3. subworlds described by metric 3D reconstructions
- □ "use 3D vision as little as possible, but no less than that!"
- □ **benefits**: scalability, occlusion tollerance, robust control

- □ a novel approach to **large-scale vision-only** navigation
- hybrid (topological-geometric) environment representation:
  - 1. environment partitioned into manageable subworlds
  - 2. the topology induced by subworld adjacency
  - 3. subworlds described by metric 3D reconstructions
- □ "use 3D vision as little as possible, but no less than that!"
- **benefits**: scalability, occlusion tollerance, robust control
- evaluated in *many* outdoor experiments (>100m) (automatic real-time mapping, autonomous path following)

## Agenda

#### □ Introduction

- The proposed navigation framework (the vision, current implementation)
- The main components of the framework (mapping, localization, navigation)
- □ Experiments (3 minute video)
- Conclusion

- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - □ **simple** relation perception → action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion

- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion



- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - □ **simple** relation perception → action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - □ **simple** relation perception → action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - □ **simple** relation perception → action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





The environment representation (central issue in navigation):

- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





Scalable vision-only navigation: Introduction 4/17

The environment representation (central issue in navigation):

- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion





Scalable vision-only navigation: Introduction 4/17

- the classic model-based approach: environment-centred (globally consistent geometry)
- the appearance-based alternative: sensor-centred (graph of key-images)
  - navigation with relaxed requirements (scalability!)
  - $\square$  simple relation perception  $\rightarrow$  action (robust control!)
  - esp. for structured environments, constrained motion



- feature-oriented: relies on correspondences between neighbouring key-images
  - Harris point features, custom differential tracker

- feature-oriented: relies on correspondences between neighbouring key-images
  - Harris point features, custom differential tracker
- □ the map constructed from a learning sequence

- feature-oriented: relies on correspondences between neighbouring key-images
  - Harris point features, custom differential tracker
- □ the map constructed from a learning sequence
- mapping and navigation heavily rely on the tracker: few association errors, fast implementation

- feature-oriented: relies on correspondences between neighbouring key-images
  - Harris point features, custom differential tracker
- □ the map constructed from a learning sequence
- mapping and navigation heavily rely on the tracker: few association errors, fast implementation
- augment appearance-based map with local 3D geometries to enable feature prediction (point transfer):
  - recovery from tracking errors (occlusion tollerance!)
  - smooth introduction of new features

- feature-oriented: relies on correspondences between neighbouring key-images
  - Harris point features, custom differential tracker
- □ the map constructed from a learning sequence
- mapping and navigation heavily rely on the tracker: few association errors, fast implementation
- augment appearance-based map with local 3D geometries to enable feature prediction (point transfer):
  - recovery from tracking errors (occlusion tollerance!)
  - smooth introduction of new features
- vectors between the current and the desired feature positions used to steer the robot (visual servoing)

## THE NAVIGATION FRAMEWORK

The envisioned application: robotic taxi in pedestrian zones

#### The main **four** components:

- □ *mapping* (performed off-line, entire environment)
- task preparation (input: the next navigation task)
- □ *localization* (coarse topological, fine-grained through tracking)
- navigation (robot control, visual servoing)



## THE NAVIGATION FRAMEWORK (2)

Task preparation produces the linear graph used for navigation

- □ global topological **localization** (CBIR, user-supplied)
- □ **injecting** the two images into the topology (w-b matching)
- **path planning** (shortest path in the environment graph)



## THE NAVIGATION FRAMEWORK (3)

The simplifications in the actually implemented system:

- no branches in the topology
- user supplied initial topological location (nevertheless, the injection of the inital image is required)
- the goal corresponds to a key-image



## MAPPING

The task: construct the map from the learning sequence  $\{I_i^{LS}\}$ 

- $\Box$  form the environment graph: extract key-images  $\{I_i\}$
- □ find correspondences  $M_i$  between ( $I_i$ ,  $I_{i+1}$ )  $\forall$  i
- $\Box$  precompute two-view geometries  $W_i(I_{i-1}, I_i)$ ,  $s_i(I_{i-1}, I_i)$



 $\Box$  nodes contain key-images  $I_i$ , features  $X_i$  and scale factors  $s_i$ 

 $\Box$  arcs contain match arrays  $M_i$  and two-view geometries  $W_i$ 

## MAPPING (2)

Mapping **iteration** starts by locating prominent features in  $I_0^{LS}$ The features tracked **until** either:

- $\Box$  reprojection error  $\sigma(W(I_0^{LS}, I_j^{LS}))$  too high (quality), or
- number of tracked features too low (robustness)

**Then**:  $I_{j-1}^{LS} \rightarrow$  the new key-image, **continue** from  $I_{j-1}^{LS}$ 



## MAPPING (3)

**Note**: disjoint graph parts often can be merged by w-b matching:

- □ to complete the mapping of a circular sequence
- □ to recover from a general tracking failure

Mapping results on a **circular** sequence, with different ( $n, \sigma, R$ ):

- □ node 0' indicates that the **cycle** has been successfully closed
- the rightmost map constructed with suboptimal parameters: the approach works regardless of the accumulated drift



## LOCALIZATION

□ features from the closest three arcs are **tracked** 



- □ from tracked features estimate  $W_{t:i}(I_i, I_t)$  and  $W_{t:i+1}(I_{i+1}, I_t)$ □ ... recover 3vgs  $(I_t, I_{i+1}, I_{i+2})$  and  $(I_t, I_i, I_{i+1})$ 
  - and predict occluded and previously invisible features
- $\Box \text{ the criterion for maintaining the topological location:} \\ \langle -\mathbf{R_{i+1}}^\top \cdot \mathbf{t_{i+1}}, \mathbf{t_{t:i+1}} \rangle < 0.$

## LOCALIZATION (2)

Feature prediction and tracking resumption are **critical** ingredients of the localization component



frame 723frame 743frame 758frame 762 $\Box$  top: current image, tracked features  $\Box$ , rejected projections  $\times$  $\Box$  bottom: optimized warps for #146 and #170

## NAVIGATION

The steering angle  $\psi$  determined as:  $\psi = -\lambda \left( \overline{x}_t - \overline{x}^* \right), \lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$ 

A large-scale experiment:

- 320 key-images along a
  1.1km learning path
- narrow sections, slopes, driving under a building



- □ the robot smoothly drove 740 m despite the clutter (A-B)
- □ five reinitializations in total were required (A-E)



## EXPERIMENTS

- □ a short video
- for more experiments please see articles presented at IAV07 and IROS07 (Diosi et al.)

## DISCUSSION

## The main **points**:

- □ scalable navigation with a single perspective camera
- reliable real-time performance on public driveways
- □ the employed techniques:
  - differential tracking (mapping, localization)
  - calibrated point transfer (feature prediction)
  - wide-baseline matching (injecting the inital image)



## DISCUSSION (2)

## **Conclusions**:

- scalability achieved by relaxing the global geometric consistency requirement
- □ **tollerance** to clutter and moderate illumination changes
- real-time mapping and navigation (5Hz, 2 m/s) on a notebook computer (2Ghz)

## Future work:

- □ improve performance in sharp turns by active vision
- extend the mapper to improve from previous experience
- □ deal with more complex topologies

# Thank you!

This work has been supported by the French national project Predit Mobivip, by the project Robea Bodega, and by the European mobility project MC IIF AViCMaL.